

---

Subject: Common or not common

Posted by [morisset](#) on Fri, 14 Nov 1997 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Helo,

I read already lot of times David (and others) speaking about "never use common" (except to save values used in multiple call of the `_same_` routine). I cannot understand how I could develop my codes without any common. I have a lot of routines that have to deal with a lot of variables (of various type).

I can't imagine passing 25 or more parameters to the routines. And with the previous version of IDL, the parameters where limited in number.

And what happens when I decide to add one other parameter to one routine? I have to check all the call to the routine to be sure that the new parameter is passed (I can't wait for IDL to do it itself ;-)?

I use parameters when I want to deal with procedure or function I want to apply to different variables. But when in every routines/functions of the program the spectrum, lambdas, corrections of different types and a lot of other things, have always the same name, it's easier and safer to pass them via a common, no?

Can somebody explain me what I miss?

C. Morisset, IAG/USP, Brasil

<http://www.iagusp.usp.br/~morisset/idl>

-----==== Posted via Deja News =====  
<http://www.dejanews.com/> Search, Read, Post to Usenet

---