

---

Subject: Re: LMFIT -- stay away from it!  
Posted by [Theo Brauers](#) on Sun, 01 Feb 1998 08:00:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks for your advice. I am pretty disappointed about the implementation of the Numerical Recipes in IDL (I am using version 5.03). I would expect all Numerical Recipes routines to come with the IDL package and not a subset. The Numerical Recipes in C book could be an excellent reference then.

Theo Brauers

Wayne Landsman wrote:

>  
> A while back I posted a complaint about LMFIT, the IDL implementation of  
> the Numerical Recipes version of the Levenberg-Marquardt non-linear  
> least-squares algorithm. I complained that the form of the  
> user-supplied function was different and less flexible than that of  
> CURVEFIT. In fact, there is a more fundamental problem with LMFIT.  
> Although the documentation says that the user-supplied function should  
> accept a vector argument, **\*\*only scalar arguments are ever passed to the**  
> **user-supplied function\*\*!** Thus, if one is fitting a function of 2000  
> points, then there must be 2000 calls to the user-supplied function on  
> each iteration. And thus with a computationally intensive function,  
> LMFIT will be about 2000 times slower than fitting with CURVEFIT.  
>  
> The same problem occurs in the IDL implementation of the Numerical  
> Recipes routines QSIMP. But the problem is more disastrous in LMFIT,  
> which requires more iterations and partial derivative computations.  
>  
> My advice -- forget about LMFIT, and stick with CURVEFIT (or write your  
> own implementation of the Numerical Recipes routine).  
>  
> --Wayne Landsman                      landsman@mpb.gsfc.nasa.gov

---