
Subject: Re: rewriting from IDL to PVwave
Posted by [pit](#) on Thu, 12 Mar 1998 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <mgs-1103982136260001@sc22-17-81.thegrid.net>,
mgs@sd.cybernex.net (Mike Schienle) writes:
> In article <MPG.f70d0ed635303f7989746@news.frii.com>, davidf@dfanning.com
> (David Fanning) wrote:
>
>> Elizabeth Jones (Elizabeth.Jones@lmco.com) writes:
>>
>>> Athoughts on how painful it would
>>> be for an absolute IDL/PVwave newbie to rewrite the IDL
>>> code to run under PVWave?
>>
>> My guess is that if the program did anything useful, that
>> it would be quite painful. :-) But it really depends
>> entirely on the program. Programs that use graphical
>> user interfaces would be the most painful, probably.
>
> I agree with David. Chances are you would quickly eat up any cost savings
> in staying with the PV-WAVE license by rewriting the IDL code. GUI's are
> quite different between the two as far as coding is concerned. Behind the
> GUI's are the event handlers, which are also quite different. No doubt
> that it can be done, just probably not cost-effectively.

As you (indirectly) said: This is only true for code that uses the
widget-capabilities of IDL. Code that does not use them normally will
run fine both in IDL and PV-Wave. At least my Image-reconstruction
package does, and I can assure that it **does** something usefull :-)

Peter

--

~~~~~  
~~~~~

Peter "Pit" Suetterlin <http://www.uni-sw.gwdg.de/~pit>
Universitaets-Sternwarte Goettingen
Tel.: +49 551 39-5048 pit@uni-sw.gwdg.de
-- * -- * ...-- * -- * ...-- * -- * ...-- * -- * ...-- * --
Come and see the stars! <http://www.kis.uni-freiburg.de/~ps/SFB>
Sternfreunde Breisgau e.V. Tel.: +49 7641 3492
