
Subject: Re: about moderation and comp.lang.idl*
Posted by [roberson](#) on Wed, 06 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <Pine.OSF.3.95.980506183704.6207A-100000@poseidon.ifctr.mi.cnr.it>, Sebastiano Barbieri <nospam@ifctr.mi.cnr.it> wrote:

:Somebody whose message I've just lost proposed to moderate comp.lang.idl,
:and somebody else reacted saying that one won't even reach the more than 100
:yes votes necessary to do it, because comp.lang.idl is virtually dead and has
:less than 100 readers (I do not know whether it's true) and proposed to close
:it.

I was the respondant. The previous exchanges can be found via
www.altavista.digital.com or www.dejanews.com .

:If you close it, could one rename the ever-confusing comp.lang.idl-pvwave to
:comp.lang.idl ? I believe the majority of (RSI) IDL users, which are more
:than 100, would find that easy.

That would leave out the Visual Numerics PVWAVE users unless a new newsgroup such as comp.lang.pvwave were also created. It does not seem to me that volume of PVWAVE messages distinct from IDL messages would be high enough to warrant a split. As newsgroup votes are always held independantly, this could easily lead to a situation where comp.lang.idl-pvwave was renamed to comp.lang.idl but comp.lang.pvwave was rejected, which would leave the PVWAVE users with no newsgroup.
