Subject: Re: PostScript and IDL, Posted by mirko_vukovic on Tue, 26 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <356acbf0.0@wltss01.nerc-wallingford.ac.uk>, wmc@bsfiles.nerc-bas.ac.uk wrote: > > In article 100000@tesla.drcmr.dk, root <root@tesla.drcmr.dk> writes: >> Hi, I'm having problems with PostScript generated by IDL 5.1 on a >> Linux system -- I expect the problem to be general, though. >> >> In principle, I'd like to use the Live tools and other procedures >> (like Insight) that allows easy printing of plots already on screen. >> >> The generated PostScript is, however, rather useless since even the >> simplest plot generates very large PostScript files. The problem seems >> to be that the screen buffer is simply copied, rather than redrawing >> the plot to the printer device. A very large bitmap is therefore >> written instead of a few PostScript primitives. > > According to an IDL course I went on a few weeks ago, this is a feature > of object graphics & you are stuck with it. But if you go back to "direct" graphics you should be OK. - William > William M Connolley | wmc@bas.ac.uk | http://www.nbs.ac.uk/public/icd/wmc/ Climate Modeller, British Antarctic Survey | Disclaimer: I speak for myself > > I am "stuck" with PCL with the same problem. The way around it is to print to a file and then send the file to the printer. For some reason, this process is much faster for me. The funny thing is that the file is called idl.ps although it is a .pcl file. mirko ----= Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==---http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading