Subject: Re: [Object IDL] routines that require user-supplied functions ... Posted by Phillip & Suzanne[2] on Tue, 11 Aug 1998 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I've run across similar problems. In particular, XManager expects to have functions/procedures for event handlers. The way I've been dealing with the situation is to write a function/procedure that's defined in the same file as my class, but uses the IDL notation for defining a class. So, in your case, I would call the function test4_poly instead of test4::poly (replace the colons with underscores). This naming convention establishes test4_poly as a "friend" of the class for anyone reading the code, while still allowing it to be accessed as a standard procedure/function. In IDL 5.1, RSI realized that this was a problem, and added the Call_Method routine which allows an object's method to be specified as a string. However, this won't work with canned routines.

You might be able to cobble together a way of invoking the object's poly routine if you can obtain a reference to the object from within another routine. If you can get an object reference, you could then do something like this:

```
function test4__poly, x
; Add some code to find the object being referred to
; I'm not sure how to accomplish this, but if you can
; obtain a reference to the object, this would work in
; a roundabout way.
return, obj->poly, x
end
```

In either case, good luck.

Phillip David

dEdmundson@Bigfoot.com wrote:

>

- > Here is a *demo* object-IDL code I wrote to illustrate a problem.
- > This object integrates x^n over the interval (a,b) using the
- > QROMB function. QROMB requires a user-defined function but I
- > cannot manage to pass the 'poly' method.

Note that the requirement for QROMB is a user-defined FUNCTION, not METHOD. While the two seem like they're interchangeable, they aren't. A function doesn't have its own data, so it doesn't need an object reference. Methods (can) use data internal to the object as well as arguments passed at the command line. Therefore, they need not only to be invoked, but provided with an object reference as well. The arrow notation for objects provides the object in question.

- > While this is a contrived example, one often wants to pass
- > object method functions/procedures to other IDL routines. Is

```
> there a generic way of passing object methods to such intrinsic
> routines?
> Cheers,
> Darran.
:> ;;-----
:> ;; save the following as test4__define.pro somewhere in your IDL path
:> ;; uncomment one of the return statements in test4::integral
:> ;; invoke the object with t = obj_new('test4', 3.0, 0.0, 1.0)
:>
:> function test4::init, degree, a, b
    self.degree = degree
   self.a = a
:>
> self.b = b
:> print, 'Integral = ', self->integral()
   return, 0
:>
:> end
:>
:> function test4::integral
:>; try both of these ...
:>; return, gromb('test4::poly', self.a, self.b)
:>; return, qromb('self->poly', self.a, self.b)
:> end
:>
:> function test4::poly,x
    return, x^(self.degree)
:> end
:>
:> pro test4__define
:> struct = {test4, degree:0.0, a:0.0, b:0.0}
:> end
```