
Subject: Re: What is going on with the minor ticks on log plots?????

Posted by [Joe\[2\]](#) on Wed, 26 Aug 1998 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, here is the solution. Run the following code.

Pro TEST

; No, this is not a protest ;)

```
!p.multi  = [0,3,2]
```

```
!p.ticklen = .07
```

; This does not work

```
!x.style  = 1
```

```
plot,/xlog,[1],[10],xrange=[1.e10,1.e13],xticks=3
```

```
plot,/xlog,[1.e9],[10],xrange=[1.e7,1.e10],xticks=3
```

```
plot,/xlog,[1.e-3],[10],xrange=[1.e-5,1.e-1],xticks=4
```

; This works

```
!x.style  = 0
```

```
plot,/xlog,[1],[10],xrange=[1.e10,1.e13],xticks=3
```

```
plot,/xlog,[1.e9],[10],xrange=[1.e7,1.e10],xticks=3
```

```
plot,/xlog,[1.e-3],[10],xrange=[1.e-5,1.e-1],xticks=4
```

end

It appears that the anomalous behavior is related solely to the value of !x.style. Not knowing how the IDL source code is written I can only guess as to the cause of the problem. Either a different chunk of code is called when xstyle is 1 rather than 0 that handles powers of ten beyond 0 to 10 differently or powers of ten outside the range from 0 to 10 are not sufficiently "exact" so as to be recognized as an integer power of ten when xstyle=1.

I bet on the former.
