Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 Reviews
Posted by David Foster on Tue, 03 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Craig Hamilton wrote:
>
> You are right, Dave, so the few of us who are working with the
> complex raw data from MRI scanners are probably out of luck.
> (But I bet there are more of us than there are of the NASA
> imaging folks.... how come they get what they want? ;))

$$

-Dave

> Craig
>
> David Foster wrote in message <363A1801.1736@bial1.ucsd.edu>...
>
>>> Those new datatypes are definitely useful - now I'm waiting
>>> on a complex 16-bit signed integer datatype..... that is the datatype
> that
>>> most (all?) MRI scanners use for their acquired data. Are there
>>> folks other than MRI types that would find this useful?
>>> Craig -
>>
>> I believe that most people that use MRI images, like our group,
>> are using the images after they have been reconstructed. At this
>> point they are simple 16-bit signed integer images.
>>
>> Dave

--

~~~~~~ ~~~~~~
David S. Foster Univ. of California, San Diego
Programmer/Analyst Brain Image Analysis Laboratory
foster@bial1.ucsd.edu Department of Psychiatry
(619) 622-5892 8950 Via La Jolla Drive, Suite 2240
La Jolla, CA 92037
~~~~~~ ~~~~~~