
Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 Reviews

Posted by [Craig Hamilton](#) on Fri, 30 Oct 1998 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You are right, Dave, so the few of us who are working with the complex raw data from MRI scanners are probably out of luck.

(But I bet there are more of us than there are of the NASA imaging folks.... how come they get what they want? ;))

Craig

David Foster wrote in message <363A1801.1736@bial1.ucsd.edu>...

>> Those new datatypes are definitely useful - now I'm waiting
>> on a complex 16-bit signed integer datatype..... that is the datatype
that

>> most (all?) MRI scanners use for their acquired data. Are there
>> folks other than MRI types that would find this useful?

>> Craig -

>

> I believe that most people that use MRI images, like our group,
> are using the images after they have been reconstructed. At this
> point they are simple 16-bit signed integer images.

>

> Dave
