
Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 Reviews

Posted by [David Foster](#) on Fri, 30 Oct 1998 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Hamilton wrote:

>
> Matthew J. Sheats wrote in message <3639D5CB.9B59DCE3@lanl.gov>...
>> However, I think the greatest addition is the new data types (for me at
>> least). 16-bit unsigned integer, 32-bit unsigned long
>> integer, 64-bit long integer, and 64-bit unsigned long integer.
>> Invaluable to me anyway.
>
> Those new datatypes are definitely useful - now I'm waiting
> on a complex 16-bit signed integer datatype..... that is the datatype that
> most (all?) MRI scanners use for their acquired data. Are there
> folks other than MRI types that would find this useful?

Craig -

I believe that most people that use MRI images, like our group,
are using the images after they have been reconstructed. At this
point they are simple 16-bit signed integer images.

Dave

--

~~~~~  
David S. Foster      Univ. of California, San Diego  
Programmer/Analyst   Brain Image Analysis Laboratory  
foster@bial1.ucsd.edu   Department of Psychiatry  
(619) 622-5892      8950 Via La Jolla Drive, Suite 2240  
                    La Jolla, CA 92037  
~~~~~