
Subject: Re: Unknown #INFO

Posted by [Martin Schultz](#) on Thu, 12 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Stein Vidar Hagfors Haugan wrote:

```
> In article <72d8sr$9at$1@agate.berkeley.edu>
> korpela@albert.ssl.berkeley.edu (Eric J. Korpela) writes:
>
> [...]
```

Oh yeah, I love it!

```
IDL> print,!version
{ mipseb IRIX unix 5.1.1 Jul 20 1998}
IDL> print,!values
{      inf nan0x2000000      inf  nan0x70000000}
```

```
> IDL> print,float(['INF','Inf','NaN','nanq'])
```

```
IDL> print,float(['INF','Inf','NaN','nanq'])
% Type conversion error: Unable to convert given STRING to Float.
% Detected at: $MAIN$
% Type conversion error: Unable to convert given STRING to Float.
% Detected at: $MAIN$
% Type conversion error: Unable to convert given STRING to Float.
% Detected at: $MAIN$
% Type conversion error: Unable to convert given STRING to Float.
% Detected at: $MAIN$
0.00000  0.00000  0.00000  0.00000
```

"All [systems] are equal, but some are more equal than others."
(G.Orwell)

BTW: I would say that it is alright for IDL to pick up the sign in `-float('Inf')`. That's what people write below integrals all the time ;-)
So at least in theory you could test for `-Inf` and replace it by the smallest (largest negative) number that your system represents. But, I realize that symbolic algebra seems rather mathematica's realm, and an average IDLer would rather only have to test for value eq `!values.Inf` instead of `abs(value)` ...

So long,
Martin.

PS: Hope they fixed this inconsistency across all platforms in 5.2

Dr. Martin Schultz
Department for Engineering&Applied Sciences, Harvard University
109 Pierce Hall, 29 Oxford St., Cambridge, MA-02138, USA

phone: (617)-496-8318
fax : (617)-495-4551

e-mail: mgs@io.harvard.edu
Internet-homepage: <http://www-as.harvard.edu/people/staff/mgs/>
