

---

Subject: Re: RSI's Priorities (was: GUI Builder...)  
Posted by [davidf](#) on Fri, 13 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Brian Jackel ([jackel@danlon.physics.uwo.ca](mailto:jackel@danlon.physics.uwo.ca)) writes:

> However, I'm watching recent developments with objects and  
> object graphics with some dismay. All of the data analysis  
> and display that I've needed to do has been easy to accomplish  
> without any of these newfangled object thingies. Seriously,  
> I've managed to maintain some moderate sized programs without  
> using objects. Given the existence of objects it is quite  
> likely that I'll get around to using them eventually, but I  
> can't help but see this as a choice between  
>  
> New bells and whistles at \$1500 a pop or  
> Freeze development and deliver an ``IDL Classic" at  
> \$500 per head.

Objects have absolutely revolutionized the way I write programs. I think they will have as big an effect on me as widgets did when they were first introduced. I don't think I've written a program for pay in the last six months that didn't have at least one object in it. And I especially like them with direct graphics. They are fast, they print nicely, and they are unbelievably powerful.

Could I do the same thing without objects? Probably, if I were clever enough. But objects just make it so darn easy. :-)

I cast my vote more more bells and whistles like this one!

Cheers,

David

-----  
David Fanning, Ph.D.  
Fanning Software Consulting  
E-Mail: [davidf@dfanning.com](mailto:davidf@dfanning.com)  
Phone: 970-221-0438, Toll-Free Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155  
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

---