Subject: Re: Linux vs Win95

Posted by R.Bauer on Wed, 02 Dec 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Vapuser wrote:

```
"R.Bauer" <R.Bauer@fz-juelich.de> writes:
>
   (snip original question about pc .vs. unix development options)
>
>
>> I am using a unix system and a PC too. In the past I have written a lot of
>> sources with emacs and idl mode. As the idl developmet comes to the windows
>> platforms I am myself switched more and more to this development. The
>> difference to emacs on the unix is that it is not color coded but on the PC it
>> This colorcoding of procedures, functions, own procedures and own functions is
>> very helpfull.
>>
>
   I'm a little unclear what you're saying here.
>
>
> If you're saying that the emacs development environment doesn't allow
> for color coding of procedures, functions and other syntactically
> significant strings in IDL you should check out font-lock mode
> (font-lock.el) used in conjunction with idl-mode. Font-lock mode color
> codes the items which idl-mode.el defines as syntactically significant
  (strings like PRO, FUNCTION, GE, LE, THEN, BEGIN...)
>
> I do all my development, and 90% of my running, of IDL from
> within an emacs buffer. None of the other development packages I've
> seen are as flexible or as fast, for me.
>
> If you want more information on this, send me some email and I'll
 forward you my .emacs file, to show you how to set it up.
>
  If I've misconstrued your statement, forgive the interruption.
>> It seems momentanly to me that's some features if neccessary or not are only
   builded for the windows platforms e.g. a widget_builder
>>
>
> In answer to the original question: personally, I'd opt for the Linux
> version, which I have at home. About the only thing that might tempt
> me into switching to a windows development env is the widget builder,
> but only if I was doing a lot of production code. At the moment, I
> don't do that, I write mostly analysis routines and do command line
> data analysis. Most of my widget development is easily done by hand
> (inside the emacs/idl-mode development env) That being the case, the
```

- > power of the unix environment tips the balance heavily in it's favor.
- >> R.Bauer

I did a mistake, emacs is color coded but idlde I use often is only colorcoded at Windows.

R.Bauer