
Subject: Re: passing multiple keywords to subroutines
Posted by [J.D. Smith](#) on Mon, 25 Jan 1999 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Ritscher wrote:

>
> It's often desirable to redefine a function, and then have this new
> function behave almost like the original function. To cook up an
> example, let's say I need a new plot function, that plots the x-axis
> as .001 units:
>
> pro myplot, x
> plot, findgen(n_elements(x) * 0.001), x
> return
> end
>
> It would now be great to be able to pass any and all possible keywords
> into this new plotting function, so I might call it as:
> myplot, x, title='test', linestyle=3
>
> In IDL, this can be done by including all possible keywords (rather
> tedious!) In PV-Wave, it's almost impossible, since it is not
> possible to pass in an undefined keyword:
>
> (I have not defined the variable 'color' here:)
> WAVE> plot, [1,5], color=color
> % PLOT: Variable is undefined: COLOR.
> % Execution halted at \$MAIN\$ (PLOT).
>
> This same command works fine in IDL, and has the appropriate behavior
> (Here, with 'color' undefined, it behaves exactly the same as if the
> keyword was not specified).
>
> Thus in IDL it is possible to define my new function along these lines:
> pro myplot, x, color=color, linestyle=linestyle, ynozero=ynozero, \$
> noclip=noclip, yrange=yrange,
> ...
> As I say, this becomes rather tedious.
>
> Does anyone see a simpler way to handle this sort of thing? It seems
> to me that that two things are needed:
> 1. both companies need to add a mechanism to handle keywords that will
> be passed on to subroutines, which might take on a form like the
> following:
> pro pass_keywords=pass_keywords myplot, x
> plot, x, pass_keywords=pass_keywords
>
> where I can now call 'myplot' with extra keywords:

> myplot, x, linestyle=3
>
> 2. The bugs in PV-Wave have to be fixed, such that undefined keywords
> can be handled by every function.
>
> Am I missing a better way to handle these issues?
>
> David Ritscher
>

uhhhhh....

Take a look at IDL's `_EXTRA` and `_REF_EXTRA` keyword inheritance mechanisms...

or am I missing something?

JD

--

J.D. Smith |*| WORK: (607) 255-5842
Cornell University Dept. of Astronomy |*| (607) 255-6263
304 Space Sciences Bldg. |*| FAX: (607) 255-5875
Ithaca, NY 14853 |*|
