
Subject: Re: Undocumented array indexing feature?
Posted by [wbiagiot](#) on Mon, 25 Jan 1999 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <36AC8341.EC8@rosa.mpin-koeln.mpg.de>,
Alex Schuster <alex@rosa.mpin-koeln.mpg.de> wrote:
> wrb1000@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
>> This is veering offtopic, but I'd just like to add my two cents.
>> IDL, like C, has many constructs that may add placed on a line to
>> condense the actual length of the program. However, just like C, the
>> readability and understanding factors tend to drop. I intend to use the
>> a=(b=(c=1)) example. I always wondered how to initialize multiple variables
>> on the same IDL line.
>
> Now that's an easy one:
>
> IDL> a=1 & b=2 & c=3 & d=4 &
>
> Voila, one line :-)
>
> Alex

Oh, I knew that one, Alex. Anyone know which method offers faster execution -

a = 0 & b = 0 * OR * a = (b = 0)

this is important when applying the same principle to large arrays. At least
to those of us with slower PCs :(

Thanks,

Bill B.

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==-----
<http://www.dejanews.com/> Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
