Subject: Re: Non-Blocking I/O
Posted by Ruediger Kupper on Fri, 12 Feb 1999 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

William Thompson wrote in response to ashmall@my-dejanews.com (Justin Ashmall):

- >> Just a thought, but would an FSTAT on the unit number give you any information
- >> as to whether there was data waiting to be read?

>> Justin

>

- > Probably not. I've dealt with situations where we've had to read from a file
- > which was open for write by another process. As I recall, the behavior of
- > FSTAT was somewhat flakey under those conditions.

Exactly. FSTAT -seems- to be just the IDL function that should do the job, but unfortunately it gives absolutely no hint in this case.

FSTAT results do look like

** Structure FSTAT, 12 tags, length=36:

```
UNIT
          LONG
NAME
           STRING
                   '/homes/kupper/IPC/fifo'
OPEN
           BYTE
                    1
ISATTY
           BYTE
                    0
ISAGUI
           BYTE
                    0
INTERACTIVE
              BYTE
                       0
READ
           BYTE
                    1
WRITE
           BYTE
                    0
TRANSFER COUNT LONG
                                1
CUR PTR
             LONG
                          -1
SIZE
                       0
         LONG
REC LEN
            LONG
                          0
```

regardless of any waiting or not waiting data.

Good thought Justin, anyway!

- > The situation we were dealing with was to read an incoming spacecraft telemetry
- > stream. Since there already was a process (written in C) which was archiving
- > the telemetry stream into data files, what we ended up doing was to simply read
- > those files while they were still being written. That way, we avoided the
- > whole pipe/fifo business. Sounds like that wouldn't help you, though.

>

- > Our original scheme was to use a two-way socket connection between IDL and a C
- > process which was handling telemetry reception. IDL would send out a request
- > for data to the socket, and the C process would either respond with a
- > packet, or with a "no-data-yet" message. That way, IDL would always read back
- > something.

Okay, so there seems to be no way around using some intermediary C-Routines which handle reception.

IDL just doesn't support Inter Process Communication...

Thank you both for your help.

Best regards, Ruediger.