Subject: Re: Misc. Bugs & Problems Posted by steinhh on Thu, 25 Feb 1999 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <eK6N4yBY#GA.322@ntawwabp.compuserve.com> "Steve Scheele" <sscheele@scitor.com> writes:

- > I am running IDL V5.2 on WinNT and have encountered the following bugs and
- > problems. All have been discussed with RSI technical support.

>

- > Problem: Sort slows down considerably when sorting integer arrays
- > containing many identical values.

>

- > Workaround: Add small-random values to the array before sorting -
- > improves sorting by 40X

Note that this seems to be platform dependent. I think IDL is using whatever builtin sorting routine exists on the specific platform. On **Digital Unix:**

```
IDL> .run
 pro test,n
 i=fix(randomu(seed,100000)*n)
 t=systime(1)
 ix=sort(i)
 print, systime(1)-t
 end
               ;; Lots of identical values
IDL> test,10
   0.12988293
IDL> test,100
   0.31445301
IDL> test, 1000
   0.46289098
IDL> test, 10000
   0.65039003
IDL> test,100000 ;; Fewest identical values.
   0.77246106
```

So, adding a random value to make each entry unique could increase the execution time here by an order of 10 (ok, I'm an astronomer!).

I seem to remember that we found some pathological case for which the Unix sorting routine (qsort?) was very slow...

Ah, yes, this is it:

Ouch! Randomizing the order of the numbers before sorting would give a speed increase on the order of 100!!

There will always be pathological cases for a given algorithm, though. It would be nice, however, if the pathological cases for IDL's SORT() was *known*, though, independently of the platform, so one could avoid them when the prior structure of the dataset is known.

Maybe the best thing would be to add keywords to SORT() that advises about any known structure... Like /DUPLICATES to warn about many identical values, /IDENTICAL_SEQUENCES to warn about long, identical, sorted subsequences, etc etc...?

> Bug: Passing a UINT array to REBIN crashes IDL

Wheee!

- > Bug: Resizing a draw widget, flips vertical sliders up side down.
- > Workaround: Pass an initial value to the slider this workaround is
- > apparently machine/OS dependent. It didn't work for me.

Could somebody give a tiny example of this - I'm not exactly clear on what this means.

Regards,

Stein Vidar