
Subject: Re: Z-buffer font quality

Posted by [DMottershead](#) on Wed, 07 Apr 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Have a look at <http://marlin.mhl.nsw.gov.au/motohtm/idl.html>

In article <7e37ig\$vv@post.gsfc.nasa.gov>,

fireman@mcst.gsfc.nasa.gov (Gwyn Fireman) wrote:

> David Fanning (davidf@dfanning.com) wrote:

> : Well, I usually write to the Z-buffer at twice the resolution that

> : I want to see on the display.

>

> The modified procedure works OK once I double the thickness of axes,

> etc. by adding the following to the plot command:

>

> psym=-6, symsize=2, thick=2, xthick=2, ythick=2, \$

>

> : code. It looks OK on my display, but perhaps I'm not that picky

> : for display purposes. Now, hardcopy, that's something else. :-)

>

> I guess I am picky - the result still looks fuzzy and a little chunky

> to me. I *much* prefer PostScript fonts and would use PS but for two

> things:

>

> - Much of my work can end up both in internal memos and on our intranet

> web site, and it seems that GIF is the portable way to do that.

>

> - EPS with preview doesn't show up in Word, and when I have many similar

> plots to put in a document I don't like to rely on filename alone.

>

> Looks like I'll need to take the time to read your PostScript chapter

> and try your tools!

>

> Thanks for the reply!

>

> --

> -- Gwyn F. Fireman

> -- General Sciences Corporation / MODIS Characterization Support Team

> -- Gwyn.Fireman@gsfc.nasa.gov 301-352-2118

>

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==-----

<http://www.dejanews.com/> Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
