
Subject: Re: Q:Comparison IDL-PVWAVE
Posted by [art.croucher](#) on Mon, 12 Apr 1999 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If the time your organization spends code and debugging costs you any money at all, IDL provides tools to assist with the development and debugging process. PV-Wave provides no tools and apparently thinks that Notepad and the PRINT command is all the development support anybody should ever need. As a result, our manpower costs are higher than they could be if we were using IDL. If we were currently doing a lot of development, I'd beg RSI to take us back.

(Truth is we use MATLAB for most of our current work, because MATLAB is the most commonly used language in our field.)

Art Croucher
The Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Lab

David Fanning wrote:

> Dr. Peter Wein (wein@pcls01.fot.agfa.de) writes:
>
>> Our development group is looking for a high level image processing
>> development tool. We found two products, IDL and PVWAVE, which looked
>> for us very similar. We know that they come from same origin. But what
>> we would like to know is, if there is really any significant difference
>> in both products in performance, features, GUI, etc. Maybe they have
>> their strength in different areas?
>> For us it would be very interesting to get information about the
>> difference of both tools. Maybe there exists test reports which compare
>> them. Also pointers to sources would be appreciated.
>
> PV-WAVE doesn't have anything like objects, which are the
> most powerful programming tool introduced in IDL since
> widgets were introduced. I, frankly, don't know how I
> ever wrote a program without them. :-)
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>
> --
> David Fanning, Ph.D.
> Fanning Software Consulting
> Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
> Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
