

---

Subject: Re: SVDFIT docs bug  
Posted by [steinhh](#) on Thu, 15 Apr 1999 07:00:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <7vbtgrj9wt.fsf@weka.phast.umass.edu> Mark Fardal  
<fardal@weka.phast.umass.edu> writes:

[..]  
> ; WEIGHTS: A vector of weights for Y[i]. ....  
[...]  
> ; Gaussian or  
> ; instrumental uncertainties should be weighted as  
> ; Weight = 1/Sigma where Sigma is the measurement  
> ; error or standard deviations of Y. For Poisson or statistical  
> ; weighting use Weight=1/Y, since Sigma=sqrt(Y).

Whee. Maths on acid... :-)

[..]

> According to DejaNews, SVDFIT has been around at least since  
> 1995. This raises several possibilities:

[..]  
> 2) The people who used SVDFIT all independently figured out the  
> problem with the documentation and used correct weights, though  
> they neglected to tell anyone else. Well, possibly.

Quite likely, I'd say... At least for those who care about the  
errors/chi^2 values. The threshold for posting a message about it  
is probably rather high (too much effort..?).

> 3) A lot of erroneous chi-squared values and incorrect fits have  
> been made with SVDFIT in the last few years. This seems fairly  
> alarming. Wonder if I've read any papers that used this  
> routine.

Quite likely, too. On the other hand, a lot of erroneous fits  
would have been made with the correct documentation anyway.. :-)

(My humble opinion, based on my experience with how little many  
people know/care about the restrictions that apply if you're going  
to take the reported chi^2/error estimates at face value. Most  
people are happy if they get any number that's within half an  
order of magnitude of their chi-by-eye estimate :-)

> 4) Even more alarming: nobody looks at the value of chi-squared.

Well, if it's wrong anyway, ... :-)

Regards,

Stein Vidar

---