
Subject: Re: Multiple widgetized windows in one application

Posted by [steinhh](#) on Thu, 29 Apr 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

- > More to the point, how many widget programmers like to write
- > programs that are easy to extend and maintain? And how many
- > like to write programs that they have to futz around with
- > for hours to make a simple change?
- >
- > Event handlers should be assigned logically according to what
- > they do, in my opinion. I quite often assign an event handler
- > to a "menu button" so that events caused by this button's
- > children will bubble up and all be handled by this one event
- > handler. This is especially the case if all the different
- > events are similar in some way. Perhaps they all do some
- > kind of image processing.

Most widget programs that I've written have been using a single event handling routine, structured along the lines of:

```
PRO program_event,ev
  widget_control,ev.top,get_uvalue=info,/no_copy
  widget_control,ev.id,get_uvalue=uval
```

```
CASE uval OF
```

```
"QUIT":BEGIN
  widget_control,ev.top,/destroy
  return
ENDCASE
```

```
"SAYHELLO":program_sayhello,info,ev
```

```
"DRAW":program_draw,info,ev
:
:
END
```

```
  widget_control,ev.top,set_uvalue=info,/no_copy
END
```

Most of these were written before pointers were invented, hence the /no_copy switch to avoid memory copying when fetching the info structure. This also creates the need to put the info structure back.

For complicated tasks I write separate **subroutines**, but the events go through the same handler.

This is to keep things simple, and to minimize the number of places where things can go wrong, like forgetting to put back the info structure, doing "refresh" operations if necessary etc..

It also makes it easier to put in a single line like

```
help,info,ev,/structure
```

in just **one** place to do debugging of the event handling.

In my opinion, if an event influences or uses the state of the application (i.e. the info structure) directly, it should go through the same event handler as the others.

If it **doesn't** influence or use the info structure, make a compound widget!

So, in my opinion, the event_pro/event_func mechanism should only be used when implementing compound widgets.

And if you find yourself using the event_pro/event_func for groups of widgets controlling a subsystem of your event application, I'd say chances are that you might be better off implementing a compound widget - if nothing else it will at least exercises the brain into thinking object orientation (the compound widget being the object).

Regards,

Stein Vidar
