

---

Subject: Re: Global variables and IDL

Posted by [Struan Gray](#) on Tue, 27 Apr 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

David Fanning, davidf@dfanning.com writes:

> Martin Schultz (mgs@io.harvard.edu) writes:

>>

>> I feel ashamed.

>

> Uh, look, this confession business has me VERY

> nervous.

<smug\_git>

I've \*never\* used a common block, and I strip 'em out of any code I get from elsewhere.

</smug\_git>

An alternative to common blocks that I use extensively is to create a system variable with a unique name (it helps that I work in a research group called 'synkrotronljusfysik') and then use it to store the start handle of a linked list. All my global variables are stored by name in the list. I have utility routines to add, delete, move and modify items, and I can create hierarchies by making any list item the start handle to a sub-list.

All my widget programs know that they can find things like user preferences, large datasets and default directory names by looking for the relevant named parameter in the list. When a widget dies, it's cleanup routine deletes any variables associated only with itself. One of the reasons I still use handles a lot (despite RSI's rather sneering insistence that we use pointers these days) is that if a list is created properly the whole thing can be disposed of automatically simply by freeing the first handle.

To achieve true IDLguru status I should probably objectify the whole thing with a singleton instead of a start handle, but it works well enough that I'm frying other fish for now.

Struan

---