Subject: Re: Temporary variables still checked out Posted by Peter Mason on Wed, 26 May 1999 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

philaldis@geocities.com wrote:

- <...> Every time I run it, if I hit the okay button,
- > I get the message from IDL 'Temporary variables still checked out', or
- > at least it's virtually like that, after the program exits.
- <...>
- > I don't know what's going on, but is it something to do with the
- > structures and passing by value etc.

Phil, you're essentially doing your assignment to a temporary variable when you do something like (structure.member)=val instead of structure.member=val. The brackets tell IDL to evaluate their contents, and this incurs the creation of a temporary variable. The assignment is lost when the temp variable is destroyed, and you get that inscrutable "programmer's revenge" error message in lieu of a warning that something hasn't worked out quite as you might have expected.

```
> ((*(info.ptr)).optIndex)[i,*] = 0
=> Instead of this, try (*info.ptr).optIndex[i,*]=0; etc.
```

Things DO get a bit unsettling when (structures of) pointers to structures are involved, but a bit of command line action will normally clarify IDL's workings with brackets soon enough. (Basically, you must restrict your bracketing to just the pointer component(s).) There was quite a bit of discussion about all this some time back (at least a year) on the NG. As I recall, Stein Vidar pretty much sussed out how it all works - it may be worth your while searching a news archive for this thread.

Peter Mason

- --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
- ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---