
Subject: Re: behavior of arrays

Posted by [Mark Fardal](#) on Fri, 21 May 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Pavel wrote

> Therefore, seems to me that FLTARR(10, 1) is the same as FLTARR(10) to
> begin with (unlike FLTARR(1, 10))... In the example below, I see no
> loss of information in the transition from d to c...

"The difference between the right word and the almost right word is really a large matter. It is the difference between the lightning-bug and the lightning." - Twain

The difference between the right array and the almost right array is unfortunately also a large matter. You may think that no information is being lost by dropping the final dimension, but the dimensionality of the array is itself information. This can mess up matrix multiplications. Herr Bauer says it can also mess up writing to a netCDF file. Who knows where else it could matter.

For a concrete example of the first problem, a couple months ago I posted a way to make CURVEFIT crash. All you have to do is make a single-parameter fit and mix the type (float/double) of the parameters in a particular way. So this problem is biting RSI's own programmers, not just morons like me.

This behavior occurs in part because type promotion routines sometimes (not always) change the dimensionality of the promoted variable, and it's awfully hard to anticipate all the situations where a type promotion could occur. Consider what would happen if the behavior was reversed, and REFORM sometimes decided to change the type as well as the dimensionality of a variable. Would that be okay too? After all, every integer can be represented as a float...

cheers,
Mark Fardal
UMass
