Subject: Re: Specification for a new array slicing function Posted by Martin Schultz on Thu, 20 May 1999 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Liam Gumley wrote:

- > I agree that START, STRIDE, COUNT are somewhat wordy. However I'd like
- > to be able to specify them in any combination, e.g.
- > I'm not sure I know a clean way to allow these combinations, other than
- > using optional keywords.

well, if you set the ones you don't need to -1 (as this was suggested to specify "all"). But at least for STRIDE, -1 should be possible as such (see my other post).

```
> START only, or
        ARREX(array, START)
```

- > STRIDE only, or ARREX(array,-1,-1,STRIDE)
- > COUNT only, or ARREX(array,0,COUNT-1); you need a start to count!
- > START and STRIDE, or ARREX(array, START, -1, STRIDE)
- > START and COUNT, or ARREX(array, START, START+COUNT)
- > STRIDE and COUNT, or ARREX(array,0,COUNT-1,STRIDE)
- > START and STRIDE and COUNT. ARREX(array, START, START+COUNT, STRIDE)

Note that I used START, END, STRIDE as in F90.

Also: while START, END, STRIDE can be multi-dimensional, they must have the same dimensions - -1 being an exception, e.g. array=fltarr(10,10,10)start=[0,0,5]stride=[2,2,1] help,arrex(array,start,-1,stride) should yield ARRAY[5,5,5]

Also, perhaps, an undefined parameter should also be interpreted as "ALL" (and then be returned as -1), i.e. in the above example arrex(array,start,theend,stride) should yield the same result although THEEND wasn't defined

A boolean /REFORM keyword would be a nice feature.

Martin. Martin Schultz, DEAS, Harvard University, 29 Oxford St., Pierce 109,