
Subject: Re: behavior of arrays
Posted by [Jack Saba](#) on Thu, 20 May 1999 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But more often than not, I WANT the extra dimension to be lost, or at least I want IDL to be willing to ignore it where appropriate. Consider this unrealistic example that nevertheless illustrates a problem that occurs all too often in IDL:

```
IDL> x=findgen(100)
IDL> ijk=where(x eq 10)
IDL> for i=ijk,99 do print, i
% Expression must be a scalar in this context: I.
% Execution halted at: $MAIN$
```

I could have written `i=ijk[0],99`, or `i=REFORM(ijk),99` to avoid the error. But it shouldn't be necessary -- this should be handled transparently.

"R.Bauer" wrote:

```
>
> I have some problems to understand the logic which may be behind the
> handling of an array
> like defined.
>
> Why is the result help, b.d only [10] and not [10,1] ?
>
> This means I will lose the information that's it is / was a 2-dim
> dataset.
>
> R.Bauer
>
> d=reform(findgen(10),10,1)
> help,d
> ;D          FLOAT    = Array[10, 1]
>
> b=create_struct('d',d)
> help,b,/str
>
> ;** Structure <1348428>, 1 tags, length=40, refs=1:
> ; D          FLOAT    Array[10, 1]
>
> help,b.d
> ; <Expression>  FLOAT    = Array[10]
>
> c=b.d
> help,c
```

```
> ; C      FLOAT = Array[10]
```
