
Subject: Re: IDL and Dual Processor PC's
Posted by [rivers](#) on Fri, 04 Jun 1999 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <7j8714\$631\$1@readme.uio.no>, steinh@ulrik.uio.no
> (Stein Vidar Hagfors Haugan) writes:

```
>  
> read_image,name2,huge_image  
> fft_of_huge_image = fft(huge_image,-1)  
> ;; .... processing....  
> processed_image = fft(fft_of_huge_image,1)  
> save_huge_image,processed_image  
>  
> ..then you could be getting a lot more value for money if you  
> buy two single-processor systems, running them in parallel  
> "by hand"...
```

I might disagree with this. A dual-processor system, running 2 instances of IDL simultaneously may be better value for money than a second complete computer. The incremental cost of the second CPU is not that high. One advantage is that you can put twice as much memory in this machine, and have all of it available to a single IDL process when you need it. This is what we are doing - 1 GB of memory on a dual-processor 450 MHz Pentium, Windows NT. We are running up against the 32 bit memory limitations of Windows and IDL. Even with 3 GB of swap space, IDL can only access arrays just over 1 GB. It doesn't take a very big 3-D array to reach that limit!

Mark Rivers
