
Subject: Re: When should objects be used?

Posted by [davidf](#) on Sat, 26 Jun 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Richard G. French (rfrench@wellesley.edu) writes:

- > OK, you need to help me out here - what is the difference between
- > direct graphics objects and object graphics objects?

There are two graphics systems that you can use in IDL. One, the direct graphics system, is what you are using now and has been a part of IDL from the beginning. The other, the object graphics system, was introduced in IDL 5.

The two systems are completely separate and different. You cannot mix and match. You use one or the other. In fact, the way they are implemented is that you create either a direct graphics window (i.e., Window) or an object graphics window (i.e., window = Obj_New('IDLgrWindow')). You cannot display direct graphics in an object graphics window and visa versa.

When RSI introduced the object graphics system, they also introduced an object class library of low-level objects that could be used to produce object graphics. In general, this library is much harder to use than direct graphics, although you have a great deal more power and control over how things are displayed than you ever did in direct graphics. (There are also things you **can't** do in object graphics that can easily be done in direct graphics. For example, you can't currently label a contour line with its value in object graphics.)

One of the things that is holding object graphics back, in my opinion, is not that they are so hard to use (it takes about a page and a half of code to reproduce the direct graphics PLOT command, e.g., see XPLOT), but that they take so darn long to print. The object graphics system is a true 3D system. And **everything** is done in 3D, even 2D line plots. So every time you do something with an object graphic, you have to carry around a LOT of information. That is what makes simple PostScript files of a PLOT command appear in the 10-20 MByte range. (RSI is making efforts to reduce the size of these files.) As a result, object graphics can be slow and printing can be extremely frustrating unless you have a coffee machine nearby.

Now, it turns out that an awful lot of what we do is NOT done in a 3D space, but in a 2D space. Line plots, contour plots, image displays, etc. Using a 3D graphics system for these 2D requirements is overkill. It not only slows these things down, but it makes them hard to print, etc.

But on the other hand, objects are really NICE! Each object's properties are "sticky" if you like. If I create a plot object, for example, and tell it I want it to draw its data in a green line with red symbols, it is going to draw its data like that forever. I don't have to set a COLOR keyword every time I want it done that way. I just tell the object to draw itself and it knows what it should do. And, of course, each object of that type that I create has its own sticky parameters. So I can create these plot objects and each can plot its data in different colors, linestyles, etc. And each can remember its own state. (Sound like a widget to you?)

Well, one of the side benefits of RSI introducing the object class library, for their new object graphics system, was that they had to create and introduce a way to create this new object data type. So, alright, the object graphics system is a nightmare to learn and we don't need 3D graphics anyway. Everything we do is in 2D space. What can we gain from objects?

Well...everything!

We can just write our own objects to do whatever we want them to do. I happen to like plots that can remember than I want a charcoal background and yellow axes and green data lines with red symbols that can *always* go into the same location in a display window, whether that window is on my display or in my PostScript file, or in the Z-buffer or whatever it is. So I can build one.

And you can use it because I am going to publish the "methods" which are the procedures and functions that you can use to interact with my plot object. For example, I might tell you that to change the axes color you use the method ChangeAxisColor like this:

```
myPlotObject->ChangeAxisColor, 'beige'
```

Now anytime you draw that plot in a display window:

```
myPlotObject->Draw
```

it is going to be using a beige color for the axes.

But here is the thing. You don't know **how** I am actually drawing the plot, or even setting the color of the axes. Nor do you care much, as long as it works. **How** it is done is left up to me and I can be as creative (or not) as I want to be.

> Can you describe a simple example for those of us without
> brains that can process abstractions?

Well, I've already done it. I've even gone to the trouble of documenting it **extremely** heavily. But no one seems to be looking at it. :-)

You can find the direct graphic colorbar object on my web page:

http://www.dfanning.com/programs/colorbar__define.pro

A colorbar is a 2D sort of thing. I often use colorbars with filled contour plots. I already mentioned that I can't imagine anyone using the object graphics contour plot, because you can't label contour lines. So I built this direct graphics colorbar object to go with my direct graphics contour plot object. (Although I give a LOT of stuff away, I don't give **everything** away. You will have to pay me for the contour plot object, it's that nice. :-)

To see how this works, let's open a window and display an image. You will have to get my TVImage and LoadData programs to run the code below, or you can use your own image:

<http://www.dfanning.com/programs/tvimage.pro>

<http://www.dfanning.com/programs/loaddata.pro>

Here we go:

```
image = LoadData(7)
Window, XSize=500, YSize=500
TVImage, image, Position=[0.25, 0.1, 0.75, 0.75]
```

You create the colorbar object like this:

```
cbar = Obj_New("COLORBAR", Index=5)
```

Here I create a colorbar with color table 5. I've left other parameters (Position, Range, Color, Title, Fontsize, etc., etc.) to be set to their default values. By default, this is a horizontal colorbar positioned in the upper part of a window, so to see it I can do this:

```
cbar->Draw
```

Suppose that is not really where I wanted it. Suppose I really wanted a vertical colorbar. Then I could do this:

```
; Erase the current colorbar.
```

```
cbar->Erase
```

```
; Make it vertical.
```

```
cbar->SetProperty, Vertical=1
```

```
; Redisplay it in the window.
```

```
cbar->Draw
```

Whoops! I wanted it on the left side of the window, not the right and resized for the image. As it happens, I wrote the SetProperty method so that I can automatically erase and redraw the colorbar object when I make a change to it. So, to move the colorbar for the right side of the window to the left and make it the right size, I do this:

```
cbar->SetProperty, Position=[0.15, 0.1, 0.22, 0.75], /Draw, /Erase
```

Ever see a direct graphics program do that!? Without moving the image?

No, me either. But that is what is possible with objects. :-)

The code is all there. If you want more details, I can put you on the list to be the first one (actually about 15th now) to buy my new book. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Whoops! Objects are persistent, so we have to get rid of them when we are finished. If we don't, we will have memory leaking everywhere. :-)

Obj_Destroy, cbar

P.S.S. Works in PostScript *just* like it works here!

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
