Subject: Re: Conflicting Data structures Posted by davidf on Tue, 29 Jun 1999 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dirk (dfabian@my-deja.com) writes:

- > This was it! I had defined the structure with a
- > name (i'm not sure why) and somehow that caused
- > the conflict. Can anyone tell me what the purpose
- > of having a named structure versus an anonymous
- > structure might be?

Named structures are much easier to use sometimes, since the *definition* of the structure is stored inside of IDL. So, if I make a structure like this:

a = {EMPLOYEE, name:", age:0, salary:0.0}

Then, I can get one of those "things" named EMPLOYEE like this:

b = {EMPLOYEE}

And IDL knows that b is that kind of a structure with three fields, name, age, and salary and it knows how those fields are defined. I don't have to reproduce this information each time I make another EMPLOYEE structure.

In general, use named structures for structures whose fields are not likely to ever change. Use anonymous structures for all the rest.

- > It doesn't seem like the
- > named structures are as versatile you certainly
- > can't destroy them and re-fill them with new
- > information.

Anything that will be changing inside of a structure should be implemented with a pointer, regardless of whether you are using Named or Anonymous structures. If done this way, "re-filling" them is not difficult at all.

Sometimes.. i just want to pinch IDL.

Humm. I don't think we want to bring up this subject in *this* group. Please take this over to alt.comp.fetish. :-(

Cheers,

David

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155