Subject: Re: Zero... THANKS Pavel and J.D. Posted by R.Bauer on Fri, 02 Jul 1999 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Frank Morgan wrote: > Pavel and J.D., > Thanks to both of you for some useful information. > > Pavel mentioned the 0-at-the-end problem with the loop approach. After some > analysis of my problem (a directed graph search), I've discovered that most > of the vectors I search will contain a non-zero within the first 10% or so > of the length. I would have thought then that the loop would be faster > than 'where' but J.D.'s timing results prove me wrong - looks like even with > loop halt at 10% (where his test halted at 50%), the timing would be 0.99/5 > = 0.2, still twice the time of 'where' searching the whole vector - boy, IDL > loops really are bad! > > For now I'm settling on 'where' - it's just fast enough for the biggest > graphs I'm searching so far. J.D.'s timing for external code indicates that > with 10% lengths typical, I might get 10X speedup over 'where' but for now > the DLL compilation isn't worth it. But it gives me an out if I need to search bigger graphs. > > Incedentally, I'd never realized you can say (where())[0] to get that first > element - that's a handy statement. It is always better to use the count value. where(a eq 0, count)

if count gt 0 then ...

R.Bauer

>

> Thaks,

> Frank