
Subject: Re: Philips Gyroscan ACS-NT: Raw data format

Posted by [Jonas](#) on Fri, 20 Aug 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you for your interesting comments. See further comments below

Jonathan Jones <jajones@ermine.ox.ac.uk> skrev i

diskussionsgruppsmeddelandet:7pjmt\$19o\$1@news.ox.ac.uk...

> In article <7pj827\$55u\$1@news.lth.se>, Jonas <jonas_2@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> I have some MR image raw data exported from a Philips Gyroscan ACS-NT MRI
>> scanner.

>> I have never worked with images from Philips MR scanners before,
therefore

>> having trouble opening the images. Has anyone out there any experience of
>> the file format used?

>> The images are acquired using a 3-D sequence and the whole image volume
(50

>> images) are stored in one single file, 42,025,472 bytes large.

>> I do not really understand the size of the file, since the images have a

>> resolution of 256*256, and I suppose that each pixel is a complex number

>> (4+4 byte), i.e. the file size should be 256*256*8*50+header =

>> 26,214,400+header byte. A 16 MB large header???

>> I would appreciate any info on header size, position of image data within

>> file, how the image data is stored, big/little-endian etc....

>

> Some things to bear in mind:

>

> 1) The data is probably stored as 64 256*256 images (not 50), which at

> 8 bytes per point gives 33554432 bytes = 32 Mb of data, leaving a mere

> 8Mb or so of headers.

why 64 images? in order to simplify fft-reconstruction?

> 3) The actual data is probably floating point numbers in the standard

> format; headers are often a mixture of integers and text. You can often

> locate the data regions by just reading the raw file as floats and looking

> for regions that "make sense". Decoding the header, rather than just

> locating it, is much trickier!

>

I'll try that

> 4) The complex data could be stored as interleaved real and imag, or all

> real followed by all imag.

>

As I see it there is three possibilities:

interleaved for each pixel
interleaved for each image
interleaved for the whole volume

Phew, I have some serious trial and error in front of me...

In fact the only time I have obtained anything similar to MR image raw data is when I read the file as 16-bit integer. Think I'll have to keep trying that way

Earlier I have only been working with images produced on Siemens scanners. The raw data from those scanners are saved in separate files for each image and with a 6144 byte header followed by complex data pixel interleaved.

> 5) If you have a 2D image to hand it may be easier to start work on that.

Well, I have the corresponding images as well, but so far I have not been able to produce any images looking anything like those when i reconstruct them...

sincerely
Jonas
