
Subject: a plea for more reliable mathematical routines

Posted by [Richard G. French](#) on Thu, 09 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
> In addition, it seems that RSI has done a sloppy job integrating LSODE
> into IDL. they don't support all the options the original LSODE has,
> including the useful ones, like single-stepping through the integration.
> the documentation seems haphazardly put together. for example, their
> description of output value STATUS=3 is
>
> "The integration was performed successfully, and no roots were found"
>
> what roots?? anyway, this makes me doubt the correctness of the
> implementation.

I have the same uneasiness about the implementation of mathematics routines in IDL, having found some simple errors in things like CURVEFIT over the past few years. If RSI wants to make inroads into the serious scientific computing arena, they will have to hire some mathematicians who will take the time and care to make sure that the mathematical functions really are properly handled. Otherwise, folks will head off to MATLAB or Fortran (gasp!) or other languages where you can count on getting a Bessel function when you call a Bessel function, or get a random number when you want one. I for one would prefer that RSI consolidate their current program structure and shore up the computational and mathematical functions to be competitive with other programs.

Dick French
