
Subject: Re: Best, Fastest platform for IDL 5.2 (NT or UNIX)

Posted by [rivers](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <37DD70F9.D76719BB@sbrc.umanitoba.ca>, Richard Tyc
<richt@sbrc.umanitoba.ca> writes:

> To add to the another similar post, I would like to know from the
> experts what system they would buy if they had \$10K - \$30K (speed being
> an important factor) ?
>
> We are running on a SGI O2 R5K, 576Mb RAM and it is pathetically
> slow!! Our project has recently received some infusion of capital and I
> would like to ask what hardware platform would be ideal ? I am not
> opposed to switching over to NT.
>
> The application makes heavy use of object graphics, volume rendering
> with cutting planes etc. (eg. render volumes of 512x512x100 with
> real-time motion updates using the trackball object)
>
> I was thinking of moving up to a SGI Octane with the R12K CPU (or
> multiple CPU).
> Any performance comparisons with IDL on the new Pentium III 600 MHz
> machines vs. UNIX workstations ?

I am using a Dell Precision 610 workstation with 1GB of RAM, 36 GB of RAID 0
disk, and dual 450 MHz processors. I am very pleased with the system. Its
cost today (with 550 MHz processors) is about \$8,000.

My application is 3-D tomography data processing and visualization. Having 2
processors helps in 2 ways:

- I can be running one IDL session doing compute-intensive reconstructions on
one processor while doing interactive work on the other.
- The IDL volume rendering object does use both processors

1 GB of RAM is essential for what I am doing, in fact I still need more memory
at times, but Windows has a limit of 1 GB of virtual memory per process. The
memory fragmentation problems often seen in IDL under Unix are much less
serious under Windows. I can create and delete 300MB arrays interactively for
hours without having to restart IDL.

I have not used similarly configured Unix systems so I really can't compare.

Mark Rivers
