

---

Subject: Re: a plea for more reliable mathematical routines

Posted by [ushomirs](#) on Fri, 10 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

yeah, if i weren't ``hooked" on IDL because of having been using it for many years doing data manipulation and stuff, i'd ditch it in favor of matlab or python. sigh, habits die hard..

In article <37D82EA9.BA62A369@wellesley.edu>,  
rfrench@mediaone.net wrote:

> I have the same uneasiness about the implementation of mathematics  
> routines in IDL, having  
> found some simple errors in things like CURVEFIT over the past few  
> years. If RSI wants  
> to make inroads into the serious scientific computing arena, they will  
> have to hire some  
> mathematicians who will take the time and care to make sure that the  
> mathematical functions  
> really are properly handled. Otherwise, folks will head off to MATLAB  
or  
> Fortran (gasp!) or  
> other languages where you can count on getting a Bessel function when  
> you call a Bessel  
> function, or get a random number when you want one. I for one would  
> prefer that RSI  
> consolidate their current program structure and shore up the  
> computational and mathematical  
> functions to be competitive with other programs.  
>  
> Dick French  
>

Sent via Deja.com <http://www.deja.com/>  
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

---