
Subject: Re: a plea for more reliable mathematical routines
Posted by [Theo Brauers](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Liam Gumley wrote:

>
> You must understand that for most IDL users, the *program* isn't
> the point: the *data* and the *visualization* are the point. Most of my
> colleagues don't get paid for writing elegant OOP applications; they get
> paid for coming up with new algorithms, visualizations, and publications
> from the analysis of remotely sensed data.

>
Fully agreed at this point. But the question was how to get reliable math
in IDL. Worse than spending time on programming is providing wrong data
and procedures. If your experiment/group/department uses your code based
on bad math in IDL then your are stuck and your colleagues come up with:
There several ready to use libraries (IMSL, NAG, ...) why dont you use
them.

Cheers
Theo

<http://www.kfa-juelich.de/icg/icg3/MITARBEITER/th.brauers.ht ml>
