Subject: Re: Best, Fastest platform for IDL 5.2 (NT or UNIX) Posted by edors on Tue, 21 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

I had the website with the results from this survey bookmarked, look here:

http://astrosun.tn.cornell.edu/staff/jdsmith/IDLSPEC.html

Eric

```
>>>> "Patrick" == Patrick Ford <pford@texas.net> writes:
```

Patrick> wmc@bas.ac.uk wrote:

```
>> Richard Tyc <richt@sbrc.umanitoba.ca> wrote: >To add to the
```

- >> another similar post, I would like to know from the >experts
- >> what system they would buy if they had \$10K \$30K (speed being
- >> >an important factor)?

>>

- >> My recollection is that about 1 year to 6 months ago someone
- >> organised a set of speed tests in IDL for a variety of
- >> platforms and published the results on the web. Someone else
- >> will surely know the real reference or perhaps dejanews will
- >> tell you.

>>

>> I particularly liked the survey because our alpha-600 won in

>> the cpu section ;-)

>> However, in terms of performance/price, I recall that

>> pentium-type objects came out on top.

>>

>> -W.

>>

- >> [just looked it up: see ftp://iras2.tn.cornell.edu/pub/jdsmith/
- >> for the results, or search deja for "Speed Survey". It was in
- >> apr 1998 perhaps time for a re-run?]

- >> -- William M Connolley | wmc@bas.ac.uk |
- >> http://www.nbs.ac.uk/public/icd/wmc/ Climate Modeller, British
- >> Antarctic Survey | Disclaimer: I speak for myself

Patrick> As I recall the test, my Mac was near the top in CPU Patrick> performance and it really sucked in the video display. I

Patrick> don't believe with the newer mother boards that this is Patrick> this case now. I would really like to see an update Patrick> because I don't believe the last statement is true.

Patrick> Patrick Ford Baylor College of Medicine pford@bcm.tcm.edu

| Eric E. Dors

| Los Alamos National Laboratory |
