
Subject: Re: Best, Fastest platform for IDL 5.2 (NT or UNIX)
Posted by [edors](#) on Tue, 21 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

I had the website with the results from this survey bookmarked, look here:

<http://astrosun.tn.cornell.edu/staff/jdsmith/IDLSPEC.html>

Eric

>>>> > "Patrick" == Patrick Ford <pford@texas.net> writes:

Patrick> wmc@bas.ac.uk wrote:

>> Richard Tyc <richt@sbr.c.umanitoba.ca> wrote: >To add to the
>> another similar post, I would like to know from the >experts
>> what system they would buy if they had \$10K - \$30K (speed being
>> >an important factor) ?

>>

>> My recollection is that about 1 year to 6 months ago someone
>> organised a set of speed tests in IDL for a variety of
>> platforms and published the results on the web. Someone else
>> will surely know the real reference or perhaps dejanews will
>> tell you.

>>

>> I particularly liked the survey because our alpha-600 won in
>> the cpu section ;-)

>>

>> However, in terms of performance/price, I recall that
>> pentium-type objects came out on top.

>>

>> -W.

>>

>> [just looked it up: see <ftp://iras2.tn.cornell.edu/pub/jdsmith/>
>> for the results, or search deja for "Speed Survey". It was in
>> apr 1998 - perhaps time for a re-run?]

>>

>> -- William M Connolley | wmc@bas.ac.uk |
>> <http://www.nbs.ac.uk/public/icd/wmc/> Climate Modeller, British
>> Antarctic Survey | Disclaimer: I speak for myself

Patrick> As I recall the test, my Mac was near the top in CPU
Patrick> performance and it really sucked in the video display. I

Patrick> don't believe with the newer mother boards that this is
Patrick> this case now. I would really like to see an update
Patrick> because I don't believe the last statement is true.

Patrick> Patrick Ford Baylor College of Medicine pford@bcm.tcm.edu

--

=====
| Eric E. Dors |
| Los Alamos National Laboratory |
=====
