

Subject: Re: a plea for more reliable mathematical routines

Posted by [m218003](#) on Tue, 21 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) [Reply to Message](#)

In article <37E6847D.78334B19@itsa.ucsf.edu>,

Karl Young <[kyoung@itsa.ucsf.edu](mailto:kyoung@itsa.ucsf.edu)> writes:

>> In IDL, it takes four lines:

≥ ≥

```
>> openr, lun, 'image.dat', /free_lun
```

```
>> image = bytarr(512, 512)
```

```
>> readu, lun, image
```

```
>> tvscl, image
```

≥ ≥

>> Those four lines of code will work on any IDL platform, and in under a

>> minute you're looking at an image...

 $\triangleright$ 

> That is certainly a strength of IDL but I disagree that the same advantages

> can't be had with C++. As a case in point we use a great (copyleft and hence

> freely available) NMR simulation package called Gamma which is

> a library of C++ functions. The NMR scientists who don't want to think about

> programming can run an extremely complex NMR simulation with 4 or 5 lines of code.

But you still have to compile these 4-5 lines of code everytime you make a little change. IMO this is one of the IDL virtues that you can interactively "play" with your data.

Martin

—

```

#####
[[  Martin Schultz  Max-Planck-Institut fuer Meteorologie  [[
[[          Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg                      [[
[[          phone: +49 40 41173-308                            [[
[[          fax:   +49 40 441787                                [[
[[  martin.schultz@dkrz.de                                     [[
#####

```