Subject: Re: How to traverse/inquire a class object structure in IDL? Posted by Paul van Delst on Wed, 13 Oct 1999 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

First off, thanks to David and Pavel for their insights. Before I could check the newsgroup for replies, one of our younger go-getter science types came and told me something about object oriented programming that made good sense:

The data should be an attribute of the object, not the object itself.

Hmm. Anyway, he and I sat down for about 15 minutes and came up with the following class structure definition and cleanup method:

```
PRO nasti define
```

```
; -- Define the NAMED data structure attribute
 data = { data, $}
      wavenumber
                      : PTR_NEW(), $
      radiance
                  : PTR NEW(), $
      altitude
                  : PTR NEW(), $
      fov _angle
                   : PTR NEW(), $
      fov index
                   : PTR NEW(), $
      latitude
                  : PTR_NEW(), $
      longitude
                   : PTR_NEW(), $
      aircraft_roll : PTR_NEW(), $
      aircraft_pitch : PTR_NEW(), $
      scan_line_index : PTR_NEW(), $
      date
                 : PTR NEW(), $
      time
                 : PTR NEW(), $
      decimal_time : PTR_NEW() }
; -- Create object CLASS structure
 nasti = { nasti, $
       data : data }
```

END

I like this becuase now I can add additional attributes whenever I want, e.g. global attribute data read from the netCDF data file containing instrument calibration information and/or processing software CVS/RCS info etc.

The cleanup method is now:

```
PRO nasti::cleanup

PRINT, FORMAT = '( /5x, "Clean up..." )'
; -- Free up pointers
```

```
n_data_fields = N_TAGS( self.data )
FOR i = 0, n_data_fields - 1 DO $
IF ( PTR_VALID( self.data.(i) ) ) THEN $
PTR_FREE, self.data.(i)
```

END

I just couldn't bring myself to typing PTR_FREE, self.whatever a bunch of times because if I ever change the data structure definition, I would have to change the cleanup as well. I like changes in my code to have as small a footprint as possible, i.e. change is required in as few places as possible. Dunno if that's a great idea but for my simple little example but it's a start. Right?

I wish I'd "discovered" objects earlier.....all that code I wrote that *needs* the data to be encapsulated. Crikey.

Thanks again!

paulv

--

Paul van Delst

Space Science and Engineering Center | Ph/Fax: (608) 265-5357, 262-5974 University of Wisconsin-Madison | Email: paul.vandelst@ssec.wisc.edu 1225 W. Dayton St., Madison WI 53706 | Web: http://airs2.ssec.wisc.edu/~paulv