
Subject: Re: 'ffff'x is negative in 5.2 positive in 5.2.1
Posted by [davidf](#) on Wed, 27 Oct 1999 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Karri Kaksonen (karri.kaksonen@picker.fi) writes:

```
> I just upgraded an Alpha Windows NT workstation to run
> version 5.2 of IDL.
>
> The old versions of IDL treated 'ffff'x as a positive number.
> In version 5.2 for the Alpha it is negative and in 5.2.1 for
> Intel it is positive again.
>
> print, 11 gt 'ffff'x
>
> A bit confusing... I wonder what other implications this may
> have on peoples software.
```

Indeed, this "anomaly" was introduced with the change to unsigned integers in IDL 5.2. It's previous behavior was fixed in IDL 5.2.1. But one could argue that since this is a long integer, it should really be written correctly like this anyway:

```
print, 11 gt 'ffff'xL
```

This works correctly under both versions of IDL. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting
Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
