

Posted by [Ben Tupper](#) on Mon, 25 Oct 1999 07:00:00 GMT

```
<!doctype html public "-//w3c//dtd html 4.0 transitional//en">
<html>
```

`<blockquote TYPE=CITE>`The manual says that the range of the axis is set by a vector:

<p>This may work if the length of the axis is 1.0 in normalized

coordinates. In the course I chose the length to be 2.0 and in

order to get it right I just tried out different values until

$\langle p \rangle [-1 - X_{\min}^2 / (X_{\max} - X_{\min}), 2 / (X_{\max} - X_{\min})]$

<p>I thought about this on my flight home last night and what I am

afraid of is that the -1 in the first element may actually depend

on where the axis is drawn on the screen. My location of the axis

was at [-1.0, -1.0]. If this is the case then RSI should do

something about it before version 5.3 comes out. Otherwise you have

to update the range vector every time you reposition the axis.</blockquote>

I've had the same difficulty... it drove me crazy for the longest time until David F bailed me out. The weakness inherent in RSI's formula ($(X_{min}/(X_{max}-X_{min}))$, $1/(X_{max}-X_{min}))$) is that it assumes that you are going to scale your data into the positional space of $[0, 1]$. In your case, you have decided to scale into positional space of $[-1, 1]$. Fortunately, David F has written the NORMALIZE function for people like me who tend to shuffle along with the lost sometimes. NORMALIZE is available at his website. NORMALIZE accepts (as a keyword) the POSITION you intend to scale your axis into.

I was so crazed by this problem that I wrote a tutorial for figuring out the difference between unscaled data coordinates and scaled data coordinates. I don't have it handy right now, but I will send it along to you as soon as possible.

```
--&nbsp;
```

Ben Tupper

Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Science
tupper@seadas.bigelow.org

Pemaquid River Company
pemaquidriver@tidewater.net
