Subject: Re: How to traverse/inquire a class object structure in IDL? Posted by J.D. Smith on Fri, 15 Oct 1999 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Paul van Delst wrote:
```

```
>
 First off, thanks to David and Pavel for their insights. Before I could check the
 newsgroup for replies, one of our younger go-getter science types came and told me
> something about object oriented programming that made good sense:
>
  The data should be an attribute of the object, not the object itself.
>
>
> Hmm. Anyway, he and I sat down for about 15 minutes and came up with the following
  class structure definition and cleanup method:
>
 PRO nasti define
>
>
  ; -- Define the NAMED data structure attribute
   data = { data, $}
>
         wavenumber
                         : PTR_NEW(), $
>
         radiance
                      : PTR NEW(), $
>
                     : PTR_NEW(), $
         altitude
>
         fov_angle
                      : PTR_NEW(), $
>
         fov index
                       : PTR_NEW(), $
>
         latitude
                     : PTR_NEW(), $
>
                      : PTR NEW(), $
         longitude
>
         aircraft_roll : PTR_NEW(), $
>
         aircraft pitch : PTR NEW(), $
>
         scan line index: PTR NEW(), $
>
                     : PTR NEW(), $
         date
>
                    : PTR NEW(), $
         time
>
         decimal_time : PTR_NEW() }
>
>
  ; -- Create object CLASS structure
>
   nasti = { nasti, $
>
         data: data }
>
>
 END
>
  I like this becuase now I can add additional attributes whenever I want, e.g.
  global attribute data read from the netCDF data file containing instrument
  calibration information and/or processing software CVS/RCS info etc.
>
>
 The cleanup method is now:
>
 PRO nasti::cleanup
>
   PRINT, FORMAT = \frac{1}{5x}, "Clean up...")
```

```
>
> ; -- Free up pointers
   n_data_fields = N_TAGS( self.data )
   FOR i = 0, n_data_fields - 1 DO $
    IF ( PTR_VALID( self.data.(i) ) ) THEN $
>
      PTR_FREE, self.data.(i)
>
>
 END
>
> I just couldn't bring myself to typing PTR FREE, self.whatever a bunch of times
> because if I ever change the data structure definition, I would have to change the
> cleanup as well. I like changes in my code to have as small a footprint as
> possible, i.e. change is required in as few places as possible. Dunno if that's a
> great idea but for my simple little example but it's a start. Right?
> I wish I'd "discovered" objects earlier.....all that code I wrote that *needs* the
> data to be encapsulated. Crikey.
```

I hate to add yet another level of dereferencing, which can get pretty ugly in code, but I have had some instances where an array of structure "data records" (or "attribute records" just as well) can be employed for just this purpose. If your attributes are really changing that much, then they shouldn't be "hard-coded" into any structure itself, class-defining or otherwise. An advantage of the method below is that if the data pointer field is the same in all records, then cleanup is trivial.

This is best illustrated with an example:

```
;; The class definition procedure...
pro MyClassDef define
 struct={MyClassDef,
Recs:ptr_new()}; a pointer to a list of structs of type
MyClassData_Rec
 ; Define an auxilliary structure for new Data Records
 struct={MyClassData_Rec,
Name:".
Data:ptr_new()}
end
Then for each new type of data record to include, simply use something
like:
pro MyClassDef::AddRec, name, data
 rec={MyClassData_Rec,Name:name,Data:ptr_new(data)}
 if ptr valid(self.Recs) then $
   *self.Recs=[*self.Recs,rec] else self.Recs=ptr new([rec])
```

end

You can easily also put in code to remove data records during run-time or do any other attribute manipulation, based on the Name field (or other relevant fields), etc. Obviously this can grow quite powerful, but be forewarned that such power is easily misused.

When it's time to cleanup, we have simply:

```
pro MyClassDef::Cleanup if ptr_valid(self.Recs) then ptr_free,(*self.Recs).Data, self.Recs end
```

Note that ptr_free doesn't care if the pointer is a null pointer (in fact it's faster just to free it without testing for this), but dereferencing does -- hence the first ptr_valid() test is the only one necessary.

Good Luck,

JD

P.S. The proper way to reference things is then:

```
; A pointer to a single attribute's data thedataptr=(*self.Recs)[element].Data
; all pointers to all attributes' data alldataptrs=(*self.Recs).Data
; a single attribute's data vector (or array, or ....) thedata=*(*self.Recs)[element].Data
; an vector of names of all the attributes attrs=(*self.Recs).Name
etc.

--
J.D. Smith |*| WORK: (607) 255-5842
```

Cornell University Dept. of Astronomy |*|

|*|

|*|

304 Space Sciences Bldg.

Ithaca, NY 14853

(607) 255-6263

FAX: (607) 255-5875