
Subject: Re: undefined keyword variables
Posted by [davidf](#) on Sat, 30 Oct 1999 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mark Fardal (fardal@weka.astro.umass.edu) writes:

- > A question: should you always be able to pass undefined variables as
- > keywords to IDL routines?

Surely this is a rhetorical question. Alright, then. Yes, absolutely. But, of course, not all of our programs are this well written, alas.

- > For example, PLOT is smart enough not to do anything with this undefined
- > variable
- >
- > IDL> plot,x,y,title=donkeykong
- > [plots fine]
- >
- > but not this one
- > IDL> plot,x,y,clip=pong
- > % PLOT: Variable is undefined: PONG.
- > % Execution halted at: \$MAIN\$
- > [no plot produced]
- >
- > IDL> help,donkeykong, pong
- > DONKEYKONG UNDEFINED = <Undefined>
- > PONG UNDEFINED = <Undefined>
- >
- > Should this be considered a bug in plot, or as normal behavior?

Oh, this is absolutely normal behavior. (At least under the usual standards by which such things are judged in IDL.) Is it **correct** behavior? Don't know. But I would doubt it. Seems to me **any** optional input keyword should be capable of accepting an undefined variable as an argument. I would run it by RSI for confirmation.

- > In general I don't know why you should be able to safely feed
- > undefined variables to routines and expect them to work.

Well, because you expect decent programmers to test any variable they expect to receive and define default values if one is not passed in. (As well as testing for data type and structure, but who among us does this except under exceptional conditions?)

- > But if you

> can't, it leads to annoying problems in writing interfaces to any
> routine with lots of keywords. In my case, I wanted to write a
> routine that called plot and then oplot, which use different sets of
> optional keyword parameters. The best solution I know of is to
> construct a value for the _extra keyword, but it's a pretty convoluted
> solution.

In what sense do you mean convoluted?

```
PRO JUNK, data, moredata, _Extra=extra
  IF N_Elements(data) EQ 0 THEN data = [3,2,5]
  IF N_Elements(moredata) EQ 0 THEN moredata = [1,2,1]
  PLOT, data, _Extra=extra
  OPLOT, moredata, _Extra=extra
END
```

That doesn't seem very convoluted to me. Any keyword in the extra structure that is not appropriate for the command it is passed to is simply ignored.

The only potential problem I see is that if you wanted to overplot in a particular color. Setting Color=200 would set the color for *both* data lines. The only solution would be to define a specific OCOLOR keyword for the JUNK procedure, so that the overplot color could be set independently of the plot color.

But that sure beats defining and checking all 60 possible keywords that we might want to use.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
