
Subject: Re: undefined keyword variables
Posted by [davidf](#) on Mon, 01 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt (craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu) writes:

>
> Dusting off my degree in horse-beating...

Oh, well, it's a slow day here, too. :-)

>
> davidf@dfanning.com (David Fanning) writes:
>>
>> I think it is not only feasible, but required, that you
>> provide default values for *any* variable you plan
>> to use in the code. Certainly if I were planning to
>> use the POSITION keyword I would have something like this:
>>
>> IF N_Elements(thePosition) EQ 0 THEN thePosition=!P.Position
>> ...
>> Plot, data, Position=thePosition
>
> This doesn't always work. Here is what happens when I examine
> !p.position after starting IDL fresh:
>
> IDL> print, !version
> { alpha OSF unix 5.2 Oct 30 1998}
> IDL> print, !p.position
> 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000

Whoops! Indeed. Case of IDL not being as smart as it usually is, I think. But, then, this is one of those keywords that is apparently escaping scrutiny anyway.

> My point is that *whatever* strategy that IDL uses to pass undefined
> keywords appears to be inconsistent. It should either be documented
> or corrected.

I shouldn't think they would document it. Too embarrassing. But I hear they are fixing it, and indeed my IDL 5.3 beta seems to handle things more gracefully. Although not, alas, in this specific case.

But to be fair, checking variables can be a damn nuisance. I have someone hounding me now about one of the programs I have on my web page. Even though the documentation clearly says use NORMALIZED coordinates, he wants to use DATA coordinates. So my tick marks are the wrong length.

So...what to do. Enforce the normalized convention when I check my keywords, or modify the code to handle a situation I didn't anticipate? I'll probably modify the code. But now I have a better idea of how people will use my code and--yikes!--I have a lot of code out there that could benefit from my new understanding. Will I change it? No, probably not. Not unless someone else starts hounding me with e-mails.

But I *might* think about it the next time I'm foolish enough to publish something in a public forum. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
