Subject: Re: undefined keyword variables
Posted by Craig Markwardt on Mon, 01 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dusting off my degree in horse-beating...

davidf@dfanning.com (David Fanning) writes:

>

- > I think it is not only feasible, but required, that you
- > provide default values for *any* variable you plan
- > to use in the code. Certainly if I were planning to
- > use the POSITION keyword I would have something like this:

>

- > IF N_Elements(thePosition) EQ 0 THEN thePosition=!P.Position
- > ..
- > Plot, data, Position=thePosition

This doesn't always work. Here is what happens when I examine !p.position after starting IDL fresh:

IDL> print, !version { alpha OSF unix 5.2 Oct 30 1998} IDL> print, !p.position 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000

That causes an error when I try to plot. Anyway, your solution takes the previous plot position. I was interested in using the IDL default position. I haven't found any logical way to figure that out. [Okay, there's the plot,/nodata,xstyle=5,ystyle=5 technique to set up the coordinates ahead of time. Hmmm...] I run into this situation where I have two paths in my code, one path where I set the POSITION, and one where I just want to pass the user's value. Check out map_set.pro and map_struct_append to see what contortions RSI went through.

My point is that *whatever* strategy that IDL uses to pass undefined keywords appears to be inconsistent. It should either be documented or corrected.

| Craig | |
|-------|--|
| | |
| , | craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu Remove "net" for better response |