Subject: Re: At Last! A Subsititute for CW_Field. Posted by m218003 on Thu, 18 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
In article <MPG.129d582bb21d39a4989976@news.frii.com>,
davidf@dfanning.com (David Fanning) writes:
> Hi Folks,
>
>
  So...
    http://www.dfanning.com/programs/coyote_field.pro
>
>
  As always, I'm interested in testers and suggesters. :-)
>
 Regards,
> David
Hi David.
```

taking advantage of the earth's rotation, I might be the first tester to provide you with feedback. Nice job (as always, should I say?). One little bug for float values though:

Enter a number with too large an exponent, and you will be rewarded with %Floating point ... messages

Second: one suggestion for an additional feature: allow a default value to be set when you return the empty field (now it returns 0. which is not always what you want).

Third: why is this not an object? ;-) Indeed it would make sense to provide the functionality of this thing as object, so you could for example extend the "heart" of it (the validation routine) to allow for hex numbers or number ranges, etc. Then again: with an object you would require two files: covote field.pro and coyote ofield define.pro so people wouldn't be able to get it running ;-) And this brings up the point how to best link objects and ignorant users. Should one provide a default object in the widget function and allow for a predefined object to be passed as a substitute? Hence, wID = covote field(...) would use the coyote_ofield object with the functionality as present, whereas wID = coyote_field(...,object=obj_new("hex_field"))

would pass responsibilities on to this other thing.

I really wish I could spend more time with this. Right now I have to go back and muddle around with some FORTRAN77 code that has been written at least 10 years ago. (don't worry, we are up into the 95's here - I just have to use this old version for now to get some results real quick ;-)

Cheerios, (I love them and haven't found them over here)
Martin