
Subject: At Last! A Subsititute for CW_Field.
Posted by [davidf](#) on Thu, 18 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Folks,

I've been working on the new XWindow thing, as I've mentioned. And as it sometimes goes in programming, one thing has led to another, and then to another, and the project has become bigger than I ever imagined. But I'm trying to do this with objects and learn a bit about some of the advantages of inheritance, etc. In other words, I'm trying to do it right.

So I came to the point the other day where I needed a CW_FIELD widget in one of my modal dialogs and I just couldn't bring myself to use CW_FIELD one more time. I don't know what it looks like on UNIX machines, but on Windows machines the text widget part of the compound widget doesn't look editable.

This leads to enormous confusion on the part of users. Since they get no visual clue that they can edit the numbers there, they don't. As a result, programs that use CW_Field are always returning wrong X sizes or Y sizes and reading past the end of the file, or not reading enough data, or whatever.

And a couple of other things annoy me about it. First of all, you can't attach an event handler to the darn thing. So you have to futz around and put them in a base that has an event handler attached to *it*, but the five compound widgets I have in the base do different things, and I don't want to have to sort it out, and... Well, I won't go on.

Suffice it to say that it is my opinion that compound widgets that don't allow you to attach event handlers to them are not written properly.

And then there are aesthetic concerns, which always seem to fall somewhere pretty far down on RSI's priority list, it seems to me. But when you are as anally retentive as I am it's real important--**REAL** important--that the damn things line up properly in the damn base!

So...

I wrote my own program that works the way I do. I should think there may be one or two of you who might be interested in it. I named it Coyote_Field and you can find it here:

http://www.dfanning.com/programs/coyote_field.pro

For the most part it is a drop-in replacement for CW_Field, at least if you have been using CW_Field as I have. One big difference is that Coyote_Field returns a named event structure. CW_Field couldn't do that (another bother) because the Value field in the event structure is always defined at run-time. It can be a string, long, float, etc. I've solved the problem by having the Value field be a pointer to the data. So if you rely on this part of the event structure, you will have to modify your code.

I tend to always get the data out of a CW_Field with the Get_Value keyword to Widget_Control. If you do this, then you won't even notice a difference. Except the darn thing will look more attractive. :-)

I've put a little example program at the end of the code that exercises the compound widget a little bit. I've tested things, but I'm not ready to declare it bug free. The number validation code is quite a bit trickier than I thought it was going to be when I set out on this mission. :-)

To run the example program, download the Coyote_Field program, then do this:

```
IDL> .compile coyote_field
IDL> example
```

There will be three compound widgets. The first is an INTEGER field and returns events every time you touch it. The second is a FLOAT field and only returns events when a CR is hit (not very useful, it seems to me, but a feature of CW_FIELD that I decided to retain). The third is a STRING value that you can get and set the value of with buttons.

As always, I'm interested in testers and suggesters. :-)

Regards,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
