Subject: Re: Real Number on PVWAVE
Posted by rkj on Fri, 19 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning (davidf@dfanning.com) wrote:
: R. Kyle Justice (rkj@dukebar.crml.uab.edu) writes:

: > Just thought I would defend DC_READ_FREE. It is one
: > of the most useful routines in all of PV-Wave. It does
: > have several quirks but I can't even imagine reading
: > column data the old OPENR way.
: >
: > I have never messed with READ_IMAGE (nor do I care to)
: > so I really shouldn't attempt to comment on it.

: Kyle, you are reading WAY too much into my comments.
: You aren't secretly working for RSI, are you?

: I meant, of course, that both programs are of the
: very highest quality, but they don't always do
: what you might have hoped they would do.

: Of course, one could always read the documentation. :-)

: Cheers,

: David
: --

Secretly working for RSI? I have never even used IDL ;-)

I have only used PV-Wave (for about 6 years now). Most
of the posts to this newsgroup are fairly IDL specific so
I feel a special need to "defend" PV-Wave when the need
arises ;-P I fear that our numbers are dwindling!

From what I can tell, the DC_ functions are one of the few
features in PV-Wave that is "superior" to IDL. But I could
be wrong about this because, like I said, I have never really
used IDL (and, at the moment, ignorance is bliss). Most of
what I know of IDL's specific features I have gleaned from
reading this newsgroup.

As far as documentation goes, I like PV-Wave's fairly well.
The only problem is it takes forever to get a new set of hardcopy
docs whenver a new version comes out. I *despise* online
documentation!