
Subject: Re: DirectColor on linux

Posted by [Vincent Favre-Nicolin](#) on Tue, 23 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

>

> Vincent FAVRE-NICOLIN (favre@polycnrs-gre.fr) writes:

>

>> CONCLUSION : IMHO, it looks like DirectColor is *not possible* under
>> Linux with Xfree86 in 24, and 32 bpp (IDL does not work in 16bpp). Color
>> Table manipulation is thus only possible in 8bpp visual mode (a real
>> shame considering today's video cards...). There seems to be 3 ways out
>> of this problem :

>> 1) easiest, cheapest : use 8bpp mode

>

> UUghhh. What will your wife say about all that money you

> spent for equipment you said you absolutely NEEDED?

Yep, so we'll end up saying we desperately NEED ;-) to buy solution

(3)

>> 2) harder : change the IDL program for a specific support of TrueColor
>> mode (ie you have to change the way you write colors when you *create*
>> the graphics, I haven't much experimented in that...)

>

> Perhaps a *bit* harder, but almost trivial these days, what

> with all the free stuff hanging around people's web

> pages. All you really have to do is figure out a way

> to re-display your graphics once you muck with the

> color table. There are any number of ways to do this,

> none of which would take more than 15 minutes to

> implement, I shouldn't think. In this case, it is

> probably nothing more than re-executing a TV command

> once the colors have been changed. Not too hard.

Right. My choice, too. Just a change of colors before TV/plot/whatever,
for most cases. It's clearly not *hard*, but just annoying since IDL
should work for all
platforms with very limited changes, and -IMHO-, without any change
between

one *nix to another. I will indeed be using this solution, and duplicate
every display/graphic procedure for TrueColor... That shouldn't take more
than

2 hours for 3-4 procedures... But I have a feeling it will be a bit more
funny

when using XOR to display text (reversibly) over images. I'm in for some
groovy colors =-)

>> 3) expensive : buy a commercial X-Windows driver that supports
>> DirectColor in 24 or 32 bpp.
>
> Uh, uh. No. The boss ain't goin' for THAT solution! :-)

Probably not, but considering the price of IDL, it may not be
so expensive !! It mainly depends whether the IDL user is a programmer
or not...

Vincent FAVRE-NICOLIN # Tel Labo :(33) 4 76 88 74 04
Laboratoire de Cristallographie-BP 166 # Tel Perso:(33) 4 76 03 19 66
38042 GRENOBLE Cedex 09 FRANCE #
