Subject: Re: At Last! A Substitute for CW_Field. Posted by davidf on Mon, 22 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ben Tupper (Ben_member@newsguy.com) writes:

- > I've often wondered why the Type declaration keyword for CW_FIELD is not defined
- > as an alternative to declaring the data type with a keyword similar to
- > COYOTE FIELD DataType keyword. I guess I was thinking that it would nice to be
- > able to declare the field type on the fly by passing along the TYPE index
- > (returned by the SIZE function) to CW FIELD (or COYOTE FIELD) rather than
- > specifying "/Float",... Is it possible to have each type of keyword (a string
- > "DataType" and and integer "Type")competing to define the field type?

Well, this is exactly the kind of thing (declaring field type on the fly) that is trivial to do if the program was written as an object. It is much harder to do written the way it is currently. I'll leave it up to you to turn it into an object and send me the result. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Don't forget to include Struan's request for floating value formatting. :-)

_-

David Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155