
Subject: Re: At Last! A Subsititute for CW_Field.
Posted by [Ben Tupper](#) on Mon, 22 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
> As always, I'm interested in testers and suggesters. :-)
>
David,

This looks great!

I have a specific suggestion regarding the DataType declaration keyword.

I've often wondered why the Type declaration keyword for CW_FIELD is not defined as an alternative to declaring the data type with a keyword similar to COYOTE_FIELD DataType keyword. I guess I was thinking that it would nice to be able to declare the field type on the fly by passing along the TYPE index (returned by the SIZE function) to CW_FIELD (or COYOTE_FIELD) rather than specifying "/Float",... Is it possible to have each type of keyword (a string "DataType" and and integer "Type") competing to define the field type?

Thanks for all the great tools!

Ben Tupper
PemaquidRiver@tidewater.net
